arXiv:1405.2153v2 [math.CA] 1 Jul 2015

BOUNDED VARIATION APPROXIMATION OF L_p DYADIC MARTINGALES AND SOLUTIONS TO ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

TUOMAS HYTÖNEN AND ANDREAS ROSÉN [1]

Abstract. We prove continuity and surjectivity of the trace map onto $L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, from a space of functions of locally bounded variation, defined by the Carleson functional. The extension map is constructed through a stopping time argument. This extends earlier work by Varopoulos in the BMO case, related to the Corona theorem. We also prove L_p Carleson approximability results for solutions to elliptic non-smooth divergence form equations, which generalize results in the case $p = \infty$ by Hofmann, Kenig, Mayboroda and Pipher.

1. Introduction

Estimates of traces $u|_{\partial D}$ of functions $u : D \to \mathbf{R}$ in some given domain D, say in the Euclidean space, are important in analysis, for example in boundary value problems for partial differential equations. By local parametrization, it often suffices to consider the case where D is the half-space

$$\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+ := \{(t, x) \,:\, t > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$$

and the traces are defined on the boundary $\partial\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+ = \mathbf{R}^n = \{(0, x) \,:\, x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$. We shall concentrate on this case here. A first problem is to show boundedness of the trace map

$$\gamma : u(t, x) \mapsto g(x) = (\gamma u)(x) := u(0, x).$$

This amounts to identifying norms $\|\cdot\|_D$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\partial D}$ on the function spaces for u and g respectively, so that an estimate $\|g\|_{\partial D} \lesssim \|u\|_D$ holds. A second problem is to determine whether γ, as a map between the corresponding function spaces, is surjective. One wants that any g can be extended to some u in D such that $\gamma(u) = g$, with estimates $\|u\|_D \lesssim \|g\|_{\partial D}$.

The most well-known trace result is the Sobolev trace theorem. This states that the trace map

$$\gamma : H^s(\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+) \to H^{s-1/2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

is bounded and surjective when $s > 1/2$. It is important to note that the Sobolev trace theorem breaks down in the limit case of regularity $s = 1/2$, and does not yield a bounded trace map onto the Lebesgue boundary space $L_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. One way to solve this problem is to consider instead the scale of Besov spaces $B^s_{p,q}$, where the trace map

$$\gamma : B^s_{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+) \to B^{s-1/p}_{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

[1] Formerly Andreas Axelsson.

T.H. is supported by the European Research Council through the ERC Starting Grant "Analytic–probabilistic methods for borderline singular integrals". He is a member of the Finnish Centre of Excellence in Analysis and Dynamics Research. A.R. is supported by Grant 621-2011-3744 from the Swedish research council, VR.

is bounded and surjective when $s > 1/p$. Here also $\gamma : B^{1/p}_{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+) \to L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is bounded and surjective whenever $1 \le p < \infty$.

Our first main result provides a new bounded and surjective trace map onto $L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, from a space of functions of locally bounded variation in the half-space, with norm

$$\|C(\nabla u)\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

using the Carleson functional

$$C\mu(x) := \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \iint_{\widehat{Q}} d|\mu|(t,y)$$

for locally finite measures μ on $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$. Here the supremum is over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ containing x, and $\widehat{Q} := (0, \ell(Q)) \times Q$ denotes the Carleson box above Q, with side length $\ell(Q)$. Note that $C(\nabla u)$ is well defined for any $u \in \mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+)$ of locally bounded variation.

In addition to the quantitative condition involving the norm $\|C(\nabla u)\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$, we also some decay at infinity, which can be assumed in various forms. The weakest condition suitable for our needs is

$$\langle |u| \rangle_{W(t,x)} := \frac{1}{|W(t,x)|} \iint_{W(t,x)} |u(s,y)| ds dy \underset{t\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, where we use averages over Whitney regions

$$W(t,x) := \{(s,y) \,:\, c_0^{-1} < s/t < c_0, |y-x| < c_1 t\},$$

with some fixed parameters $c_0 > 1$ and $c_1 > 0$. The above convergence is in particular implied by the stronger quantitative bound

$$Nu \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

where

$$Nu(x) := \text{ess sup}_{|y-x|<t} |u(t,y)|, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

denotes the non-tangential maximal function. Indeed, it is easy to check that $\langle |u| \rangle_{W(t,x)} \le \inf_{y \in B(x,ct)} Nu(y) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ if $Nu \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Thus we have the nested interior function spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}^0_p &:= \{u \in \mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+) : C(\nabla u) \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n),\ \langle |u| \rangle_{W(t,x)} \underset{t\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^n\} \\
\supset \mathcal{V}^N_p &:= \{u \in \mathrm{BV}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+) : C(\nabla u) \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n),\ Nu \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)\} \\
\supset \tilde{\mathcal{V}}^N_p &:= \{u \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+) \quad : C(\nabla u) \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n),\ Nu \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of these spaces, we formulate our first L_p extension result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Consider the normed linear function space $\mathcal{V}_p$ with norm $\|C(\nabla(\cdot))\|_p$. Then the trace γu of any $u \in \mathcal{V}^0_p$, is well defined almost everywhere in the sense of convergence of Whitney averages*

$$(\gamma u)(x) := \lim_{t \to 0^+} |W(t,x)|^{-1} \iint_{W(t,x)} u(s,y) ds dy, \qquad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

The trace map $\gamma : \mathcal{V}^0_p \to L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is well defined, and there exists $c_p < \infty$ so that estimates

$$\|\gamma u\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le c_p \|C(\nabla u)\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

hold for all $u \in \mathcal{V}_p^0$. *Moreover, the trace map* γ *is surjective, and given any* $g \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *there exists an extension* $u \in \mathcal{V}_p^0$ *such that* $\gamma u = g$, *with estimates*

$$\|C(\nabla u)\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c_p \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}.$$

In fact, this extension may be chosen so that $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_p^N$, *with the additional estimate*

$$\|Nu\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c_p \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

and pointwise non-tangential limits $\lim_{(t,y)\to(0,x),|y-x|<\alpha t} u(t,y) = g(x)$ *exist at each Lebesgue point of* g, *for any fixed* $\alpha < \infty$.

We remark that the extension operator $g \mapsto u$ is in general non-linear, even though γ itself of course is linear.

The corresponding trace result in the case $p = \infty$, proved by Varopoulos [12, 13] is that there is a bounded and surjective trace map

$$\|u|_{\mathbf{R}^n}\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \lesssim \|C(\nabla u)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)},$$

and a corresponding non-linear bounded extension operator, where $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ stands for the John–Nirenberg space of functions of bounded mean oscillation. Following Varopoulos [13], we obtain the extensions in Theorem 1.1 from a result on approximate extensions of Lebesgue functions on $\mathbf{R}^n$. This main component of Theorem 1.1, contained in our Theorem 1.2, generalizes well known techniques in the end point case $p = \infty$ related to the Corona Theorem, first proved by Carleson [1]. Our proof of Theorem 1.2 though, is more in the spirit of Garnett [7, Ch. VIII, Thm. 6.1].

Theorem 1.2. *Fix* $1 < p < \infty$. *Consider* $g \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *and define the dyadic average extension*

$$u(t,x) := \fint_Q g(y)dy, \qquad (t,x) \in W_Q,$$

where $W_Q := (\ell(Q)/2, \ell(Q)) \times Q$ *denotes the dyadic Whitney region above a dyadic cube* $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ *of side length* $\ell(Q)$. *Then, for any* $0 < \epsilon < 1$, *there exists* $f : \mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+ \to \mathbf{R}$ *which is constant on each dyadic Whitney region, with estimates*

$$\begin{cases} \|N(f-u)\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \\ \|C(\nabla f)\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \lesssim \epsilon^{-1} \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for any fixed $\alpha < \infty$, *non-tangential limits* $\lim_{(t,y)\to(0,x),|y-x|<\alpha t} f(t,y) =: f(0,x)$ *exist almost everywhere, so that* $\|f(0,\cdot) - g\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon \|g\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$.

We remark that our proof in fact yields the pointwise estimates $N_{\mathcal{D}}(f-u) \leq \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}} g$ and $C_{\mathcal{D}}(\nabla u) \lesssim \epsilon^{-1} M_{\mathcal{D}}(M_{\mathcal{D}} g)$, using the dyadic non-tangential maximal functional, the dyadic Carleson functional and the dyadic Hardy–Littlewood maximal functional. See Section 2.

That the construction of approximate extensions f as above with control of $C(\nabla f)$ is indeed non-trivial, can be seen as follows. Consider a "lacunary" function, which in a standard Haar basis would mean something like

$$u(x) := \sum_{Q \subset (0,1), |Q| \geq 2^{-k}} (\chi_{Q^l}(x) - \chi_{Q^r}(x)), \qquad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

where the sum is over dyadic subintervals of $(0,1)$ of length at least 2^{-k}, and the summand involves the characteristic functions of the left and right dyadic children

of Q. Then one checks that $\|u\|_p \lesssim \sqrt{k}$, whereas the dyadic average extension $u(t,x)$ is seen to satisfy

$$C(\nabla u)(x) \gtrsim k, \qquad \text{for all } x \in (0,1).$$

Therefore the dyadic average extension, or the closely related Poisson extension, will not satisfy the required estimates. Instead, Theorem 1.2 is proved using a stopping time construction, where we modify the stopping condition used in endpoint BMO case.

The second main result that we prove in this paper is an approximation result analogous to Theorem 1.2, but with the dyadic martingale u replaced by a solution u to an elliptic divergence form equation $\mathrm{div} A\nabla u = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$. This generalizes results in the end point case $p = \infty$ by Garnett [7] for the Laplace equation in $\mathbf{R}^2_+$, by Dahlberg [3] for the Laplace equation on Lipschitz domains in $\mathbf{R}^n$, and by Kenig, Koch, Pipher and Toro [11] and Hofmann, Kenig, Mayboroda and Pipher [9] for divergence form equations on Lipschitz domains.

Theorem 1.3. *Fix $1 < p < \infty$ and coefficients $A \in L_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^{1+n}))$ which are accretive in the sense that there exists $\lambda_A > 0$ such that*

$$(A(x)v, v) \geq \lambda_A |v|^2,$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and all $0 \neq v \in \mathbf{R}^{1+n}$. Then for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists $c_\epsilon < \infty$ such that the following holds. Given any weak solution $u : \mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+ \to \mathbf{R}$ to the t-independent real scalar, but possibly non-symmetric, divergence form elliptic equation

$$\mathrm{div}_{t,x} A(x) \nabla_{t,x} u(t,x) = 0,$$

with estimates $Nu \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, there exists a function f in $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$ of locally bounded variation, with estimates

$$\begin{cases} \|N(f-u)\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon \|Nu\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \\ \|C(\nabla f)\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c_\epsilon \|Nu\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}. \end{cases}$$

In fact our proof gives the pointwise bounds

$$N_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(f-u) \leq \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(\nabla f) \leq c_\epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu),$$

where $\mathcal{D}^\delta$ denotes modified dyadic versions of maximal and Carleson functionals, see Section 5, from which the asserted norm bounds are immediate by the maximal inequality. The dependence of c_ϵ on ϵ given by our proof, is certainly worse than $1/\epsilon$. We also note that, when p is large enough, it follows from the work [9] that the L_p Dirichlet problem is well posed since the L-harmonic measure, for $L = -\mathrm{div}_{t,x} A(x) \nabla_{t,x}$, is in $A_\infty(dx)$, and therefore the norm $\|Nu\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ can be replaced by $\|u|_{\mathbf{R}^n}\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}$ in Theorem 1.3 in this case.

The end point case $p = \infty$ of Theorem 1.3 is a key tool in the study of boundary value problems for elliptic equations $Lu = 0$ as above in [11, 9]. In [11] it is proved that the existence of such approximand $f \approx u$ implies the A_∞ property of harmonic measure. However, the latter is well known by Dahlberg, Jerison and Kenig [4] to imply the comparability of non-tangential maximal functions and square functions of solutions u, which in turn is a key tool in [11, 9] in the construction of approximands $f \approx u$. Therefore bounded variation approximability $f \approx u$, A_∞ control of harmonic measure and $N \approx S$ comparability turn out to be equivalent. The importance of

the approximability property through this circle of arguments in the $p = \infty$ case, motivates our generalization in Theorem 1.3 to the case $p < \infty$.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we survey the basic estimates for the functionals defining our spaces. In Section 3 we deduce Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.2, and prove the latter using a weighted stopped square function estimate, the proof of which is in Section 4. Finally in Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.3, using local $N \approx S$ estimates which we borrow from [9], as a replacement of the stopped square function estimates which we used in the martingale case of Theorem 1.2.

2. The basic functionals

In this section, we collect well known facts concerning the functionals that we use to define several norms of functions in the half space $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$.

First we fix notation. We write the $L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ norm as $\|\cdot\|_p$. Cubes in $\mathbf{R}^n$ (dyadic or not) we denote by $Q, R, S, \ldots$, and we assume that these are open. The Carleson box above a cube $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is denoted

$$\widehat{Q} := (0, \ell(Q)) \times Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+,$$

where $\ell(Q)$ denotes the sidelength of Q. We write cQ to denote the cube with same center as Q but with $\ell(cQ) = c\ell(Q)$.

Let $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{D}_j$ denote a fixed system of dyadic cubes in $\mathbf{R}^n$, with $\mathcal{D}_j$ being the cubes of sidelength $\ell(Q) = 2^{-j}$. We assume that the dyadic cubes in $\mathcal{D}$ form a connected tree under inclusion. Let $W_Q := (\ell(Q)/2, \ell(Q)) \times Q$ denote dyadic Whitney regions. The corresponding non-dyadic Whitney region around a point $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$ we define to be

$$W(t, x) := \{(s, y) \,:\, c_0^{-1} < s/t < c_0, |y - x| < c_1 t\},$$

where $c_0 > 1$ and $c_1 > 0$ are fixed parameters.

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of $f \in L_1^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ that we use is

$$Mf(x) := \sup_{Q\ni x} \fint_Q |f(y)|dy,$$

where the supremum in Mf is over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ containing x. Restricting the cubes to the dyadic ones in the supremum yields the dyadic Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_{\mathcal{D}} f(x)$. We also require the following truncated (to large cubes) version of the dyadic Hardy-Littlewood maximal function:

$$M_{\mathcal{D}} f(Q) = \sup_{R\supset Q, R\in\mathcal{D}} \fint_R |f(y)|dy, \qquad Q \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{1}$$

Definition 2.1. For a locally integrable function $f(t, x)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$ we define, for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, the *non-tangential maximal functional* Nf, the *Carleson functional* Cf and the *area functional* Af as

$$Nf(x) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{|y-x|<\alpha t} |f(t, y)|,$$

$$Cf(x) := \sup_{Q\ni x} |Q|^{-1} \iint_{\widehat{Q}} |f(t, y)|dtdy,$$

$$Af(x) := \iint_{|y-x|<\alpha t} |f(t, y)| t^{-n} dtdy.$$

Here the supremum in Cf is over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ containing x. In the definition of Nf and Af, the parameter $\alpha > 0$ denotes some fixed aperture of the cones. To emphasize the exact dependence of the aperture, we sometimes write $N^{(\alpha)}$ and $A^{(\alpha)}$.

For a function $f(t,x)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$, having constant value f_Q on each dyadic Whitney region W_Q, we also define dyadic versions of these functionals by

$$N_{\mathcal{D}} f(x) := \sup_{x \in Q \in \mathcal{D}} |f_Q|,$$

$$C_{\mathcal{D}} f(x) := \sup_{x \in Q \in \mathcal{D}} |Q|^{-1} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{D}, R \subset Q} |f_R||W_R|,$$

$$A_{\mathcal{D}} f(x) := \sum_{x \in Q \in \mathcal{D}} |f_Q| \ell(Q).$$

We want to point out that, throughout this paper, we are using the measure $dtdx$ and not the measure $t^{-1}dtdx$, although the latter is quite common in the literature. Note that the functionals A and C extend in a natural way to the case when f is a signed measure on $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$, and in particular to the case of gradients of functions of locally bounded variation.

We record the following norm equivalences between different choices for the aperture of the cones.

Proposition 2.2. *Fix $0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$. Then for any $1 \le p \le \infty$, we have $\|N^{(\alpha)} f\|_p \approx \|N^{(\beta)} f\|_p$, and for any $1 \le p < \infty$, we have $\|A^{(\alpha)} f\|_p \approx \|A^{(\beta)} f\|_p$.*

Proof. The estimates for Nf are proved in Fefferman and Stein [6, Lem. 1]. To prove the estimate for the A-functional, we follow Coifman, Meyer and Stein [2, Prop. 4, case $2 \le p < \infty$] and consider $0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty$: Dualize against $\|h\|_{p'} = 1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A^{(\beta)} f\|_p &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\iint_{|y-x|<\beta t} |f(t,y)| t^{-n} dt dy \right) h(x) dx \\
&= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+} |f(t,y)| \left(t^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<\beta t} h(x) dx \right) dt dy \\
&\lesssim \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+} |f(t,y)| \left(t^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<\alpha t} Mh(x) dx \right) dt dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} A^{(\alpha)} f(x) Mh(x) dx \lesssim \|A^{(\alpha)} f\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We also record the following equivalence of norms between the corresponding dyadic and non-dyadic functionals.

Proposition 2.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Nf\|_p &\approx \|N_{\mathcal{D}} f\|_p, \qquad 1 \le p \le \infty, \\
\|Cf\|_p &\approx \|C_{\mathcal{D}} f\|_p, \qquad 1 < p \le \infty, \\
\|Af\|_p &\approx \|A_{\mathcal{D}} f\|_p, \qquad 1 \le p < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for all functions $f(t,x)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$ that are constant on each dyadic Whitney region.

Proof. For proofs of the results for N and C, we refer to [10]. Consider now the area functional A. As in the proof of Proposition 2.2, the proof is an adaption of [2, Prop. 4, case $2 \le p < \infty$]. Dualize against $\|h\|_{p'} = 1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\|Af\|_p &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\iint_{|y-x|<\alpha t} |f(t,y)| t^{-n} dt dy \right) h(x) dx \\ &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+} |f(t,y)| \left(t^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<\alpha t} h(x) dx \right) dt dy \\ &\lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} |f_Q| |W_Q| \left(\fint_Q (Mh)(x) dx \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (A_{\mathcal{D}} f)(Mh) dx \lesssim \|A_{\mathcal{D}} f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

□

Less obvious is the following important L_p equivalence of the A and C functionals.

Proposition 2.4. *For $1 \le p < \infty$, we have*

$$\|Af\|_p \lesssim \|Cf\|_p.$$

For $1 < p \le \infty$, we have

$$\|Cf\|_p \lesssim \|Af\|_p$$

(for any fixed aperture α in the case $p = \infty$).

At the endpoint $p = \infty$, the A-functional depends on the choice of aperture, and should be replaced by the Carleson functional, which is strictly smaller, as seen from the example

$$f(t,x) = (t + |x|)^{-n}.$$

At the endpoint $p = 1$, we have $\|Cf\|_1 < \infty$ only if $f = 0$, so the Carleson functional should be replaced by the area functional, which in this case defines simply the function space $L_1(\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+)$.

Proposition 2.4 is a reformulation of Coifman, Meyer and Stein [2, Thm. 3]. The proof below contains some novelties in the estimate $A \lesssim C$.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. For $C \lesssim A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Af)(x) &= \sup_{Q \ni x} \fint_Q \left(\iint_{|y-x|<\alpha t} |f(t,y)| t^{-n} dt dy \right) dx \\ &= \sup_{Q \ni x} |Q|^{-1} \iint \left(t^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<\alpha t, x \in Q} dx \right) |f(t,y)| dt dy \gtrsim Cf(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $A \lesssim C$, we argue by duality with a suitable $\|h\|_{p'} = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Af\|_p &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Big(\iint_{|y-x|<\alpha t} |f(t,y)| t^{-n} dt dy \Big) h(x) dx \\ &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+} |f(t,y)| \Big(t^{-n} \int_{|x-y|<\alpha t} h(x) dx \Big) dt dy \\ &=: \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+} |f(t,y)| H(t,y) dt dy = \int_0^\infty \Big(\iint_{\substack{(t,y) \in \mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+ \\ H(t,y) > \lambda}} |f(t,y)| dt dy \Big) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

If $H(t,y) > \lambda$, there is a cube Q such that $⨍_Q h(x)dx > c\lambda$ and $(t,y) \in \widehat{Q}$. By the Whitney covering lemma, there is a collection $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda$ of these cubes such that the $\widehat{Q}$ are pairwise disjoint, and the $5\widehat{Q}$, $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_\lambda$, cover all the points (t,y) with $H(t,y) > \lambda$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\iint_{\substack{(t,y)\in\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+\\ H(t,y)>\lambda}} |f(t,y)|dtdy &\le \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_\lambda} \iint_{5\widehat{Q}} |f(t,y)|dtdy \le \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_\lambda} |5Q| \inf_{x\in Q} Cf(x)\\ &\lesssim \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_\lambda} \int_Q Cf(x)dx \le \int_{\{Mh>c\lambda\}} Cf(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting back, this shows that

$$\|Af\|_p \lesssim \int_0^\infty \int_{\{Mh>c\lambda\}} Cf(x)dxd\lambda \lesssim \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} Cf(x)Mh(x)dx \lesssim \|Cf\|_p. \qquad \square$$

3. Construction of extensions

In this section we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, assuming a square function estimate which we prove in Section 4. We first deduce Theorems 1.1 from Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 assuming Theorem 1.2. (1) First we consider the existence and bound of the trace of $u \in \mathcal{V}^0_p$. Fix $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and consider two Whitney regions $W(t_1,x)$ and $W(t_2,x)$, with $t_1 < t_2$. Estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\left||W(t_2,x)|^{-1}\iint_{W(t_2,x)} u(s,y)dsdy - |W(t_1,x)|^{-1}\iint_{W(t_1,x)} u(s,y)dsdy\right|\\ &\quad\approx \left|\iint_{W(1,0)} (u(t_2s,x+t_2y)-u(t_1s,x+t_1y))dsdy\right|\\ &\quad\lesssim \iint_{W(1,0)}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\nabla u(ts,x+ty)|dtdsdy\\ &\quad= \int_{t_1}^{t_2}\int_{t/c_0}^{c_0t}\int_{|y'-x|<c_1t} |\nabla u(s',y')|t^{-1-n}dy'ds'dt\\ &\quad\lesssim \int_{t_1/c_0}^{c_0t_2}\int_{|y'-x|<c_1s'} |\nabla u(s',y')|(s')^{-n}dy'ds'\end{aligned}$$

Since $A(\nabla u) \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by Proposition 2.4, we have for almost all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ that $A(\nabla u)(x) < \infty$. For such x, it follows from the above estimate that Whitney averages converges as $t \to 0$. Thus, in this sense we have a well defined trace almost everywhere on $\mathbf{R}^n$.

On the other hand, directly from the definition of the space $\mathcal{V}^0_p$ it follows that $|W(t_2,x)|^{-1}\iint_{W(t_2,x)} u(s,y)dsdy \to 0$ as $t_2 \to \infty$. The estimate

$$\|\gamma u\|_p \lesssim \|A(\nabla u)\|_p \approx \|C(\nabla u)\|_p$$

follows.

(2) We construct the extension u of $g \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as follows. Define functions g_k, u_k and f_k, $k = 0,1,2,\dots$ inductively: Let $g_0 := g$. Given $g_k \in L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $k \ge 0$, we

apply Theorem 1.2 to define the dyadic extension u_k and its approximation f_k, with estimates

$$\|N(f_k - u_k)\|_p \le \epsilon \|g_k\|_p,$$
$$\|C(\nabla f_k)\|_p \le C\epsilon^{-1}\|g_k\|_p.$$

Then let $g_{k+1} := g_k - f_k|_{\mathbf{R}^n}$. We have

$$\|g_{k+1}\|_p \le \|N(u_k - f_k)\|_p \le \epsilon \|g_k\|_p$$

and therefore $\|g_k\|_p \le \epsilon^k \|g\|_p$. Define

$$f := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k.$$

This is an exact extension of g since $0 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \|g_{k+1}\|_p = \left\| g - \sum_{j=0}^{k} f_j|_{\mathbf{R}^n} \right\|_p$. Moreover, we have the estimate

$$\|C(\nabla f)\|_p \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|C(\nabla f_k)\|_p \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C\epsilon^{-1}\|g_k\|_p \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C\epsilon^{-1}\epsilon^k \|g\|_p \lesssim \|g\|_p,$$

fixing some $0 < \epsilon < 1$, and similarly

$$\|Nf\|_p \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|Nf_k\|_p \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\|Nu_k\|_p + \epsilon \|g_k\|_p \right) \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|g_k\|_p \lesssim \|g\|_p.$$

This shows that we have an extension $f \in \mathcal{V}_p^N$.

It remains to mollify f to obtain another extension

$$u(t,x) := \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+} f(ts, x+ty)\eta(s,y)dsdy, \qquad (t,x) \in \mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+,$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(W(1,0))$ has $\iint \eta = 1$. Then it is straightforward to verify that $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_p^N$, with the stated estimate of

$$\nabla u(t,x) = \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+} \begin{bmatrix} s & y^t \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \nabla f(ts, x+ty)\eta(s,y)dsdy. \qquad \square$$

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we require the following lemma for the truncated dyadic maximal function from (1).

Lemma 3.1. *For any $g \in L_1^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, we have*

$$\frac{|Q|}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(Q)} \le 4 \int_Q \frac{dx}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(x)}.$$

Proof. Define

$$E_Q := \{x \in Q \,:\, M_{\mathcal{D}}g(x) > 2M_{\mathcal{D}}g(Q)\} = \{x \in Q \,:\, M_{\mathcal{D}}(g1_Q)(x) > 2M_{\mathcal{D}}g(Q)\}.$$

The weak L_1 estimate for $M_{\mathcal{D}}$ yields

$$|E_Q| \le \frac{1}{2M_{\mathcal{D}}g(Q)} \int_Q |g|dx \le \frac{1}{2}|Q|.$$

Thus

$$\frac{|Q|}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(Q)} \le 2\frac{|Q \setminus E_Q|}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(Q)} \le 4 \int_{Q\setminus E_Q} \frac{dx}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(x)} \le 4 \int_Q \frac{dx}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(x)}. \qquad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 modulo Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 4.1. (1) We first localize the problem to a large top cube Q_0. Choose $Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ large enough so that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q_0} |M_{\mathcal{D}} g|^p dx \leq \delta,$$

where $\delta > 0$ is to be chosen below. Define

$$g_2(x) := \begin{cases} \fint_{Q_0} g dy, & x \in Q_0, \\ g(x), & x \notin Q_0, \end{cases}$$

and let $g_1 := g - g_2$. Let u_1 and u_2 be the dyadic extensions of g_1 and g_2 respective, so that u_1 is non-zero only on $\widehat{Q}_0$.

Define the approximation f_2 of u_2 to be

$$f_2(t,x) := \begin{cases} \fint_{Q_0} g dy, & (t,x) \in \widehat{Q}_0, \\ 0, & (t,x) \notin \widehat{Q}_0. \end{cases}$$

Then $N_{\mathcal{D}}(f_2 - u_2)(x) \leq M_{\mathcal{D}} g(x)$ if $x \notin Q_0$ and $N_{\mathcal{D}}(f_2 - u_2)(x) \leq \inf_{Q_1} M_{\mathcal{D}} g$ if $x \in Q_0$, where Q_1 is the sibling of Q_0. Thus

$$\|N_{\mathcal{D}}(f_2 - u_2)\|_p^p \leq 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus Q_0} |M_{\mathcal{D}} g|^p dx \leq (\epsilon/2)^p \|g\|_p^p,$$

provided $2\delta \leq (\epsilon/2)^p \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |g|^p dx$. Furthermore $\|C(\nabla f_2)\|_p \lesssim |Q_0|^{1/p} (|Q_0|^{-1} \int_{Q_0} g dy) \leq \|g\|_p$. Thus we have reduced to the problem of approximating $u_1 \approx f_1$.

(2) Replacing g by g_1, it follows from step (1) that we may assume that $\operatorname{supp} g \subset Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\int_{Q_0} g = 0$. Denote by u the dyadic average extension of g, and write $u_Q := \fint_Q g(y) dy$. We construct the approximand f using the following stopping time argument. Given any cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, we define the stopping cubes

$$\omega(Q) := \{\text{maximal } R \in \mathcal{D} \text{ such that } R \subset Q \text{ and } |u_R - u_Q| \geq \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}} g(R)\}.$$

We then define generations of stopping cubes under Q_0 inductively as follows.

$$\omega_0 := \{Q_0\}, \qquad \omega_1 := \omega(Q_0),$$
$$\omega_{k+1} := \bigcup_{Q \in \omega_k} \omega(Q), \qquad k = 1, 2, \ldots$$
$$\omega_* := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_k.$$

Furthermore, for $Q \in \omega_*$ we define the "dyadic sawtooth" region

$$\Omega(Q) := \widehat{Q} \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{R \in \omega(Q)} \widehat{R}} \subset \widehat{Q}.$$

We define f to be the locally constant function in $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$ which takes the value u_Q on $\Omega(Q)$ for each $Q \in \omega_*$, i.e.,

$$f_R := u_Q, \qquad \text{when } W_R \subset \Omega(Q), Q \in \omega_*,$$

and $f = 0$ on $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+ \setminus \widehat{Q}_0$. From this construction it is clear that f has non-tangential limits almost everywhere. To verify that $\|N(f-u)\|_p \leq \epsilon \|g\|_p$, we note directly

from the stopping condition that

$$N_{\mathcal{D}}(f-u)(x) = \sup_{Q\ni x, Q\in\mathcal{D}} |f_Q - u_Q| \le \epsilon \sup_{x\in Q\in\mathcal{D}} M_{\mathcal{D}}g(Q) = \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}}g(x),$$

from which the estimate follows.

(3) We next establish the main estimate, namely that of $C(\partial_t f)$. We fix $Q_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ with $Q_1 \subset Q_0$ and estimate

$$\sum_{Q\in\omega_*, Q\subset Q_1} |u_Q - u_{Q_*}||Q| \le \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{Q\in\omega_*, Q\subset Q_1} |u_Q - u_{Q_*}|^2 \frac{|Q|}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(Q)},$$

where we write Q_* for the stopping parent of Q, that is the smallest $Q_* \in \omega_*$ such that $Q_* \supsetneqq Q$, and exceptionally $(Q_0)_* := Q_0$.

Define the square function

$$Sg(x) := \left(\sum_{Q\in\omega_*, Q\subset Q_1} |\langle g\rangle_Q - \langle g\rangle_{Q_*}|^2 1_Q(x) \right)^{1/2}, \qquad \langle g\rangle_Q := \fint_Q g(y)dy.$$

Recalling that $u_Q = \langle g\rangle_Q$ for $Q \in \omega_*$, Lemma 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{Q\in\omega_*, Q\subset Q_1} |u_Q - u_{Q_*}|^2 \frac{|Q|}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(Q)} \\ \lesssim \sum_{Q\in\omega_*, Q\subset Q_1} |\langle g\rangle_Q - \langle g\rangle_{Q_*}|^2 \int_{Q_1} 1_Q(x) \frac{dx}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(x)} = \int_{Q_1} |Sg(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{M_{\mathcal{D}}g(x)}.\end{aligned}$$

We now use some properties of (dyadic versions of) the Muckenhoupt weight classes A_p; these are easy variants of well-known results for the usual A_p classes, found e.g. in [5], Chapter 7. Writing

$$(M_{\mathcal{D}}g)^{-1} = 1 \cdot ((M_{\mathcal{D}}g)^{\gamma})^{1-q},$$

for some $\gamma \in (0,1)$ and $q = 1 + 1/\gamma \in (2,\infty)$, it follows (cf. [5], Theorem 7.7(1) and Proposition 7.2(3)) that $(M_{\mathcal{D}}g)^{\gamma} \in A_1(dx)$ and $(M_{\mathcal{D}}g)^{-1} \in A_q(dx) \subset A_\infty(dx)$, with A_q constants independent of g.

We now apply Proposition 4.1 below, with the collection of cubes $\tilde{\omega}_* := \{Q \in \omega_* : Q \subset Q_1\}$, the function

$$\tilde{g} := \begin{cases} g(x) - \fint_{Q_1} g dx, & x \in Q_1, \\ 0, & x \notin Q_1, \end{cases}$$

the weight $w := (M_{\mathcal{D}}g)^{-1}$ and $p = 2$. This gives

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |S_{\tilde{\omega}_*}\tilde{g}|^2 dw \lesssim \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |M_{\mathcal{D}}\tilde{g}|^2 dw = \int_{Q_1} |M_{\mathcal{D}}\tilde{g}|^2 dw \lesssim \int_{Q_1} |M_{\mathcal{D}}g|^2 dw.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{Q_1} |Sg(x)|^2 dw &\lesssim \int_{Q_1} |S_{\tilde{\omega}_*}g(x)|^2 dw + \int_{Q_1} |M_{\mathcal{D}}g|^2 dw \\ &\le \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |S_{\tilde{\omega}_*}\tilde{g}(x)|^2 dw + \int_{Q_1} |M_{\mathcal{D}}g|^2 dw \lesssim \int_{Q_1} |M_{\mathcal{D}}g|^2 dw \le |Q_1| \inf_{Q_1} M_{\mathcal{D}}(M_{\mathcal{D}}g),\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\|C(\partial_t f)\|_p \lesssim \epsilon^{-1} \|M_{\mathcal{D}}(M_{\mathcal{D}}g)\|_p \lesssim \epsilon^{-1} \|g\|_p.$$

(4) To complete the proof, we use Lemma 3.2 below and obtain the Carleson estimate

$$\|C(\nabla f)\|_p \approx \|C(\partial_t f)\|_p + \|C(\nabla_x f)\|_p \lesssim \|C(\partial_t f)\|_p + \|M_{\mathcal{D}} g\|_p \lesssim \|g\|_p.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let u be a function in $\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$ which is constant on dyadic Whitney regions, and let $Q \in \mathcal{D}$. Uniformly for such u and Q, we have the estimate*

$$\iint_{\overline{\widehat{Q}}} |\nabla_x u| dt dx \lesssim \iint_{\overline{\widehat{Q}}} |\partial_t u| dt dx + |Q| \sum_{Q'} |u_{Q'}|,$$

where the last sum is over $Q' \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\ell(Q') = \ell(Q)$, $\partial Q' \cap \partial Q \neq \emptyset$.

Note the obvious meaning of $\iint_{\overline{\widehat{Q}}}$: The contribution from $\partial \widehat{Q} \cap \mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+$ is to be counted.

Proof. Fix a dyadic cube Q. Consider a contribution to $\nabla_x u$ from the jump across $\partial W_R \cap \partial W_S \subset \overline{\widehat{Q}}$, where $\ell(R) = \ell(S)$. Go up through ancestors to a common dyadic ancestor $R_N = S_N$, and write

$$R = R_0 \subset R_1 \subset \ldots \subset R_N = S_N \supset \ldots \supset S_1 \supset S_0 = S.$$

If $R_N = S_N \subset Q$, then we estimate

$$|u_R - u_S||R| \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{N} 2^{-nk} (|u_{R_k} - u_{R_{k-1}}||R_k|) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} 2^{-nk} (|u_{S_k} - u_{S_{k-1}}||S_k|).$$

For some fixed sub-cube $R_k \subsetneqq Q$, there arise in this way one such term $|u_{R_k} - u_{R_{k-1}}||R_k|$ from each sub-cube R of R_k such that $(\partial R) \cap (\partial R_k) \neq \emptyset$. There are at most $C 2^{(n-1)k}$ such sub-cubes with $\ell(R) = 2^{-k} \ell(R_k)$.

If $R_N = S_N \not\subset Q$, then we estimate as above, but stop at $|R_K| = |S_K| = |Q|$, and we obtain two extra terms

$$2^{-nK} |u_{R_K}||Q| + 2^{-nK} |u_{S_K}||Q|.$$

Summing up, using $\sum_0^\infty 2^{(n-1)k} 2^{-nk} = 2$, we get

$$\iint_{\overline{\widehat{Q}}} |\nabla_x u| dt dx \lesssim \iint_{\overline{\widehat{Q}}} |\partial_t u| dt dx + |Q| \sum_{Q'} |u_{Q'}|.$$

□

4. A dyadic weighted stopped square function estimate

Let $\omega_* \subset \mathcal{D}$ be any collection of dyadic cubes. Given any $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, define its stopping parent Q_* to be the minimal $Q_* \in \omega_*$ such that $Q_* \supsetneqq Q$. If no such Q_* exists, we let $Q_* := Q$. Define the stopped square function

$$S_{\omega_*} u(x) := \left(\sum_{Q \in \omega_*} |u_Q - u_{Q_*}|^2 1_Q(x) \right)^{1/2}, \qquad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

We prove the following weighted estimates for S_{ω_*}, inspired by the work of Gundy and Wheeden [8, Thm. 2] for the non-stopped square function (i.e., case $\omega_* = \mathcal{D}$).

Proposition 4.1. *Fix a Muckenhoupt weight $w \in A_\infty(dx)$ and an exponent $1 \le p < \infty$. Then we have the stopped square function estimate*

$$\|S_{\omega_*} u\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n;w)} \lesssim \|M_{\mathcal{D}} u\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n;w)},$$

uniformly for any collection of dyadic cubes ω_*.

Proof. It suffices to prove a good lambda inequality

$$w(\{S_{\omega_*} u > 2\lambda, M_{\mathcal{D}} u < \gamma\lambda\}) \lesssim \gamma^\delta w(\{S_{\omega_*} u > \lambda\}),$$

for some $\delta > 0$. By the A_∞ assumption, this will follow from a Lebesgue measure estimate

$$|\{S_{\omega_*} u > 2\lambda, M_{\mathcal{D}} u < \gamma\lambda\} \cap Q| \lesssim \gamma|Q|,$$

for any maximal dyadic cube $Q \subset \{S_{\omega_*} u > \lambda\}$. To this end, assume that $x \in \{S_{\omega_*} u > 2\lambda, M_{\mathcal{D}} u < \gamma\lambda\} \cap Q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 4\lambda^2 < \sum_{R\in\omega_*, R_*\subset Q} |u_R - u_{R_*}|^2 1_R(x) + \sum_{R\in\omega_*, R\subset Q \subsetneq R_*} |u_R - u_{R_*}|^2 1_R(x) \\ + \sum_{R\in\omega_*, R\supsetneq Q} |u_R - u_{R_*}|^2 1_R(x) \le S_{\omega_*}(u1_Q)(x) + 4(\gamma\lambda)^2 + \lambda^2, \end{aligned}$$

using that $M_{\mathcal{D}} u(x) < \gamma\lambda$ for the second term and the maximality of Q for the last term. Therefore, assuming $\gamma < 1/2$, we have $S_{\omega_*}(u1_Q)(x) > \lambda$, so

$$\{S_{\omega_*} u > 2\lambda, M_{\mathcal{D}} u < \gamma\lambda\} \cap Q \subset \{S_{\omega_*}(u1_Q) > \lambda\}.$$

From Lemma 4.2 below, we get the estimate

$$|\{S_{\omega_*}(u1_Q) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \int_Q |u| dx.$$

We may assume that $\{S_{\omega_*} u > 2\lambda, M_{\mathcal{D}} u < \gamma\lambda\} \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, and in particular that $\int_Q |u| dx \le \gamma\lambda|Q|$. Put together, this proves that $|\{S_{\omega_*} u > 2\lambda, M_{\mathcal{D}} u < \gamma\lambda\} \cap Q| \lesssim \gamma|Q|$. □

Lemma 4.2. *The stopped square function S_{ω_*} defined above, has estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} |\{S_{\omega_*} u > \lambda\}| &\lesssim \lambda^{-1} \|u\|_{L_1(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \qquad \lambda > 0, \\ \|S_{\omega_*} u\|_{L_2(\mathbf{R}^n)} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_2(\mathbf{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for any collection of dyadic cubes ω_*.

A standard Calderón–Zygmund decomposition argument yields the weak L_1 estimate, given the L_2 estimate. This L_2 estimate is in turn proved by a well known martingale square functions estimate, see for example Garnett [7, Ch. VIII, Lem. 6.4]. For completeness, we include the details of the proof.

Proof. (a) For the L_2 estimate, we write $\omega_* = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_k$, where the cubes in ω_k are disjoint and $\omega_{k-1} = \{Q_* : Q \in \omega_k\}$. We define the martingale $\{u_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$, where

$$u_k(x) := \begin{cases} \fint_Q u(y)dy, & x \in Q \in \omega_k, \\ u(x), & x \notin \bigcup_{Q\in\omega_k} Q. \end{cases}$$

This yields

$$\|S_{\omega_*}u\|_2^2 = \sum_k \sum_{Q\in\omega_k} |u_Q - u_{Q_*}|^2|R| \le \sum_k \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |u_{k+1}-u_k|^2 dx$$
$$= \sum_k \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (u_{k+1}^2 + u_k^2 - 2u_{k+1}u_k)dx = \sum_k \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (u_{k+1}^2 - u_k^2)dx \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u^2 dx,$$

where we have used that $\int u_k u_{k+1}dx = \int u_k^2 dx$.

(b) Let Q_k denote the maximal dyadic cubes contained in $\{M_{\mathcal{D}}u > \lambda\}$. Write $u = g + \sum_k b_k$, where $|g| \le \lambda$ and $\operatorname{supp} b_k \subset Q_k$ with $\int_{Q_k} b_k = 0$. The stated estimate follows from the two estimates

$$|\{S_{\omega_*}g > \lambda/2\}| \lesssim \lambda^{-2}\int |S_{\omega_*}g|^2 dx \lesssim \lambda^{-2}\int |g|^2 dx \lesssim \lambda^{-1}\int |g|dx \le \lambda^{-1}\int |u|dx,$$

using (a) and that $\int_{Q_k}|u|dx \approx \lambda|Q_k|$, and

$$|\{S_{\omega_*}(\sum_k b_k) > \lambda/2\}| \lesssim \sum_k |Q_k| = |\{M_{\mathcal{D}}u > \lambda\}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1}\int |u|dx,$$

using that $\operatorname{supp} S_{\omega_*}b_k \subset Q_k$ and the weak L_1 bound of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. □

5. Approximation of solutions to elliptic equations

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3. This uses several lemmas which are stated and proved later in this section, which in turn ultimately build on two estimates which we borrow from [9]. These are

$$\int_{\theta Q} |u(\psi(x),x) - u(p_Q)|^2 dx \lesssim \iint_{\widehat{Q},t>\psi(x)} |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 (t-\psi(x))dtdx, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\iint_{\widehat{Q},t>\psi(x)} |\nabla u(t,x)|^2(t-\psi(x))dtdx \lesssim \sup_{t>\psi(x)} |u(t,x)|^2, \tag{3}$$

for weak solutions u to an elliptic equation $Lu = 0$ as in Theorem 1.3. Here $\theta \in (0,1)$, p_Q is a "cork-screw point" above Q in the Carleson box $\widehat{Q}$, and $\psi \ge 0$ is a Lipschitz function. Note that the implicit constants in the two estimates depend on the ellipticity constants λ_A and $\|A\|_\infty$ from Theorem 1.3 and on $\|\nabla\psi\|_\infty$ and dimension, but not otherwise on A, ψ, u or Q. The first estimate (2) follows from [9, Cor. 1.17] upon replacing u by $u - u(p_Q)$ and using interior regularity and Poincaré's inequality to remove the error term. The second estimate (3) follows from [9, Cor. 1.10] upon pulling back that result from the half space to the graph domain $t > \psi(x)$.

From now on, we only consider dyadic cubes of every Nth generation for some fixed N, and refer to these simply as "dyadic cubes", notation

$$\mathcal{D}^\delta := \{Q \in \mathcal{D} \,:\, \ell(Q) = 2^{-kN}, k \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

We write $\delta := 2^{-N}$ for the change of scale between consecutive generations of these dyadic cubes. The main advantage of this consideration is to gain a convenient control of boundary effects: For positive integers m, we have the dichotomy that each dyadic subcube $Q' \subsetneq Q$ is either a "centred cube", meaning

$$Q' \subset (1-2m\delta)Q,$$

or a "boundary cube", meaning

$$Q' \subset Q \setminus (1-2m\delta)Q.$$

As long as $2m\delta < 1$, both these consist of a positive fraction of the total volume of Q, but this could never be achieved with $\delta = 2^{-1}$ when every dyadic child is a boundary cube.

The $\mathcal{D}^\delta$-dyadic versions of the functionals M, N and C are denoted by $M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}$, $N_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}$ and $C_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}$. One verifies that the analogous estimates to Proposition 2.3 hold. We also use the following notation for $Q \in \mathcal{D}^\delta$, where the parameter $\eta > 0$ will be eventually chosen small relative to the given ϵ appearing in the statement of Theorem 1.3. (The parameter $\delta = 2^{-N}$ will also be chosen small, but independent of ϵ.)

- $\widehat{Q} := (0, \ell(Q)) \times Q$ is the Carleson box, as before.
- $p_Q := ((1-\eta)\ell(Q), c_Q)$ is the "corkscrew point" of $\widehat{Q}$, where c_Q denotes the center of Q.
- $\widetilde{Q} := \{\ell(Q)\} \times \eta Q$ is a small hypersurface on the top boundary of $\widehat{Q}$, around the centre.
- $W_Q := \widehat{Q} \setminus \bigcup_{\mathcal{D}^\delta \ni Q' \subsetneq Q} \widehat{Q}' = [\delta\ell(Q), \ell(Q)) \times Q$ is a Whitney-type rectangle.
- $\Gamma_Q := \{(t,x) : t > \delta\ell(Q) + \operatorname{dist}(x, Q)\}$ is a graph domain containing W_Q.

Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.3. We construct the approximand f as follows. We define a family of "stopping cubes" $\mathcal{S}$, and the corresponding sawtooth regions $\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S) := \bigcup_{Q:\pi_{\mathcal{S}}Q=S} W_Q$, where $\pi_{\mathcal{S}}Q = S$ means that S is the smallest stopping cube such that $S \supset Q$; the family $\mathcal{S}$ is built in such a way that the value of $u(p_Q)$ varies relatively little among all Q with $\pi_{\mathcal{S}}Q = S$. The first approximation to u is then given by $\varphi_1 := \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}} u(p_S) \cdot 1_{\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S)}$.

However, this approximation fails to be good on the Whitney regions W_R corresponding to "large oscillation cubes" $\mathcal{R}$, for which we introduce a correction $\varphi_2 := \sum_{R\in\mathcal{R}} (u - \varphi_1) \cdot 1_{W_R}$. The final approximation is then given by $f := \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$. The verification of $N_{\mathcal{D}^\delta} * (f-u) \lesssim \epsilon N u$ will then be straightforward from the construction of the collections $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{R}$. The pointwise estimate for the Carleson functional, $C_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(\nabla f) \lesssim_\epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)$, is verified separately for φ_1 and φ_2 in place of f; these bounds depend in particular on the Carleson property of both $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{R}$, established in Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, and the estimates are completed in Lemmas 5.6 and 5.8. □

5.1. **Construction of stopping cubes.** We start with some generalities. Let $\mathcal{C}(Q', Q) \in \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ be some "criterion" that assigns a truth value to every pair of (dyadic) cubes $Q' \subsetneq Q$. By the "stopping family" with initial collection $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}^\delta$ and stopping criterion $\mathcal{C}$ we understand the family of dyadic cubes $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$ constructed as follows: We initialize $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{I}$. Then we add to $\mathcal{F}$ all $F' \in \mathcal{D}^\delta \setminus \mathcal{F}$ such that

(a) $\mathcal{C}(F', F)$ is true for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $F' \subsetneq F$, and
(b) F' is not contained in any $F'' \subsetneq F$ with either $F'' \in \mathcal{F}$ or $\mathcal{C}(F'', F)$ is true.

We repeat this addition indefinitely. This is seen to yield a well defined family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}^\delta$.

For every $Q \in \mathcal{D}^\delta$, let $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}Q$ denote the minimal $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $Q \subset F$. The stopping family with initial collection $\mathcal{I}$ and stopping criterion $\mathcal{C}$ has the property that $\mathcal{C}(Q, F)$ is false whenever $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}Q = F$; namely, the latter means by definition

that there *does not exist* any intermediate stopping cube F' with $Q \subset F' \subsetneq F$, thus all intermediate cubes Q' with $Q \subset Q' \subsetneq F$ do *not* satisfy the stopping condition $\mathcal{C}(Q', F)$, hence $\mathcal{C}(Q', F)$ is false for all these Q', and in particular for $Q' = Q$ itself. For $F \in \mathcal{F}$, we denote by $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{F}}(F) := \{F' \in \mathcal{F} \text{ maximal: } F' \subsetneqq F\}$ the family of its $\mathcal{F}$-*children*.

A stopping criterion $\mathcal{C}$ is *sparse*, if

$$\sum_{\substack{Q' \subsetneqq Q \text{ maximal:} \\ \mathcal{C}(Q', Q) \text{ is true}}} |Q'| \le \tau |Q|$$

for some fixed $\tau < 1$. It is straightforward to check that if the initial collection $\mathcal{I}$ is Carleson, that is

$$\sup_{Q \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{\mathcal{I} \ni R \subset Q} |R| < \infty,$$

and if the stopping criterion $\mathcal{C}$ is sparse, then the stopping family $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$ is Carleson.

A priori, the stopping collections produced by a dyadic algorithm may not be so well behaved geometrically. This is to some extent remedied by the following lemma, which builds on ideas from [9, Sec. 5].

Lemma 5.1. *Consider a cube Q and a disjoint collection $\mathcal{Q}$ of its dyadic subcubes. We say that $Q' \in \mathcal{Q}$ is*

- centred (in Q) *if $Q' \subset (1 - 2\delta)Q$, and*
- uncovered (by $\mathcal{Q}$) *if $(\ell(Q'') - \ell(Q'))/\mathrm{dist}\,(Q', Q'') \le \delta^{-1}$ for all $Q'' \in \mathcal{Q}$.*

(See Figure 1 below for an illustration of covered and uncovered cubes.) Let

$$\mathcal{Q}^* := \{Q' \in \mathcal{Q} : Q' \text{ is centred and uncovered}\}.$$

For some constants $\tau \in (0, 1)$ and C, we then have

$$\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} |Q'| \le \tau |Q| + C \sum_{Q'' \in \mathcal{Q}^*} |Q''|.$$

Proof. If Q' is not uncovered, then $\ell(Q'') - \delta^{-1}\mathrm{dist}\,(Q', Q'') > \ell(Q')$ for some $Q'' \in \mathcal{Q}$, and we say that this Q'' *covers* Q'. Then in particular $\ell(Q') < \ell(Q'')$, and hence $\ell(Q') \le \delta \ell(Q'')$, but also $\mathrm{dist}\,(Q', Q'') < \delta \ell(Q'')$.

Further, if Q' is not uncovered, it is covered by some Q_1 which, if not uncovered, is covered by some Q_2, and so on. Since $\ell(Q_k)$ increases geometrically and is bounded by $\ell(Q)$, the chain must terminate after finitely many steps with some uncovered Q_k. The ℓ^∞-distance of the furthest point of $Q' =: Q_0$ from the centre of Q_k can be at most

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [\ell(Q_j) + \mathrm{dist}\,(Q_j, Q_{j+1})] + \frac{1}{2}\ell(Q_k) &\le \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2\delta^{k-j}\ell(Q_k) + \frac{1}{2}\ell(Q_k) \\ &< \Big(\frac{2\delta}{1-\delta} + \frac{1}{2}\Big)\ell(Q_k) \le \frac{5}{2}\ell(Q_k), \end{aligned}$$

since $\delta \le \frac{1}{2}$, and hence $Q' \subset 5Q_k$. We have

$$\sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{Q}} |Q'| = \sum_{\substack{Q' \in \mathcal{Q} \\ Q' \subset (1-2m\delta)Q}} |Q'| + \sum_{\substack{Q' \in \mathcal{Q} \\ Q' \subset Q \setminus (1-2m\delta)Q}} |Q'| =: I + II,$$

where $II \leq (1-(1-2m\delta)^n)|Q|$ by disjointness. On the other hand, every Q' appearing in I is contained in $5Q''$ for some uncovered $Q'' \in \mathcal{Q}$. In particular, $5Q''$ intersects with $Q' \subset (1-2m\delta)Q$. Thus, the ℓ^∞-distance of the furthest point of $5Q''$ from the centre of Q is at most

$$(1-2m\delta)\ell(Q)/2 + 5\ell(Q'') \leq (5\delta + \frac{1}{2} - m\delta)\ell(Q),$$

and hence $Q'' \subset 5Q'' \subset (1-2(m-5)\delta)Q$. Since all Q' in I are covered by such $5Q''$, we have

$$I \leq \sum_{\substack{Q'' \text{ uncovered} \\ Q'' \subset (1-2(m-5)\delta)Q}} |5Q''| \leq 5^n \sum_{Q'' \in \mathcal{Q}^*} |Q''|,$$

provided that we take $m \geq 6$, and also $\delta < 1/(2m)$ for term II. □

Let us now fix as our initial collection $\mathcal{I}$ some increasing chain of cubes $I_0 \subsetneqq I_1 \subsetneqq I_2 \subsetneqq \cdots$ that exhaust $\mathbf{R}^n$. Clearly this is Carleson.

We define the "principal cubes" $\mathcal{P}$ as the stopping family with initial collection $\mathcal{I}$ and the stopping criterion $\mathcal{C}(Q', Q)$ given by

$$M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q') = \sup_{R \supseteq Q'} \fint_R Nu(x)dx > A \cdot M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q), \tag{4}$$

for some fixed $A > 1$ to be chosen. To verify that this criterion is sparse, and therefore $\mathcal{P}$ is Carleson, select a disjoint family of subcubes $Q' \subset Q$ that satisfy (4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |Q'| &\leq |\{M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(1_Q Nu) > A \cdot M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q)\}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A \cdot M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q)} \int_Q Nu(x)dx = \frac{|Q|}{A} \end{aligned}$$

by the weak-type $(1,1)$ estimate for the maximal operator $M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}$.

The usefulness of the numbers $M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q)$ lies in the fact that they control the values of u in the entire graph-domain Γ_Q.

Lemma 5.2. *We have the estimate*

$$\sup_{\Gamma_Q} |u| \leq M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q).$$

Proof. It is enough to observe that $\Gamma_Q \subset \Gamma_{1/\delta}(x) := \{(t,y) : |y-x| < \delta^{-1}t\}$ for any $x \in Q$, and therefore

$$\sup_{\Gamma_Q} |u| \leq \inf_{x \in Q} \sup_{\Gamma_{1/\delta}(x)} |u| \leq \inf_{x \in Q} Nu(x) \leq \fint_Q Nu dx \leq M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q),$$

provided that the aperture defining N is at least δ^{-1}. □

Finally, we define the "stopping cubes" $\mathcal{S}$ as the stopping family with initial collection $\mathcal{P}$ and the stopping criterion $\mathcal{C}(Q', Q)$ given by

$$|u(p_{Q'}) - u(p_Q)| > \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q'). \tag{5}$$

We observe the following self-improvement of this criterion.

Lemma 5.3. *Under the condition* (5)*, we have*

$$|u(z)-u(p_Q)| \gtrsim \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q') \qquad \text{for all } z\in \widetilde{Q}' = \{\ell(Q')\}\times \eta Q',$$

provided that η *satisfies* $\eta^\alpha \ll \epsilon$*, where* $\alpha>0$ *is the Hölder exponent from interior regularity estimates for* u*.*

Proof. If $z\in \widetilde{Q'} := \{\ell(Q')\}\times \eta Q'$, the interior regularity of solutions u to $\operatorname{div} A\nabla u = 0$ shows that

$$|u(z)-u(p_{Q'})| \lesssim \Big(\frac{|z-p_{Q'}|}{\ell(Q')}\Big)^\alpha \langle |u|^2\rangle^{1/2}_{\widetilde{W}_{Q'}} \lesssim \eta^\alpha \inf_{Q'} Nu \ll \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q'),$$

where $\widetilde{W}_{Q'}$ is a slight expansion of W_Q. Thus, we have

$$|u(z)-u(p_Q)| \gtrsim \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q') \qquad \text{for all } z\in Q'. \qquad \square$$

We will also consider the family $\mathcal{R}$ of those cubes $R\in\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\operatorname*{osc}_{W_R} u := \sup_{z,w\in W_R} |u(z)-u(w)| > \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(R).$$

Note that here the defining condition is simpler than the stopping conditions considered above, in that it can be directly checked for any cube, without reference to the previously chosen members of the stopping family.

The main estimate here is that both families $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ satisfy the Carleson condition.

Lemma 5.4. *For the stopping cubes* $\mathcal{S}$*, we have the Carleson measure estimate*

$$\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}, S\subset Q_0} |S| \lesssim |Q_0|$$

for all dyadic cubes Q_0*.*

Lemma 5.5. *For the large oscillation cubes* $\mathcal{R}$*, we have the Carleson measure estimate*

$$\sum_{R\in\mathcal{R}, R\subset Q_0} |R| \lesssim |Q_0|$$

for all dyadic cubes Q_0*.*

Proof of Lemma 5.4. (A) First we make a preliminary simplification of the estimate based on Lemma 5.1. By considering the maximal $\mathcal{S}$-cubes contained in Q_0, we may assume without loss of generality that $Q_0\in\mathcal{S}$. We then write

$$\sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}, S\subset Q_0} |S| = \sum_{\substack{S\in\mathcal{S}, S\subset Q_0\\ \pi_{\mathcal{P}} S=\pi_{\mathcal{P}} Q_0}} |S| + \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}, P\subsetneq Q_0} \sum_{\substack{S\in\mathcal{S}\\ \pi_{\mathcal{P}} S=P}} |S|,$$

and we claim that it suffices to prove the required bound $|Q_0|$ for the first term. Namely, if this is done, we simply apply this result, with $Q_0=\pi_{\mathcal{P}}Q_0=P$, to the inner sum in the second term, which shows that this inner sum is bounded by $|P|$. Then the Carleson property of the collection $\mathcal{P}$ completes the estimate.

So we concentrate on the first term, and abbreviate $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}Q_0=:P$ for convenience. We also drop the summation condition "$S\in\mathcal{S}$", with the implicit understanding that this is always in force.

With Lemma 5.1 applied to $Q=S$ and $\mathcal{Q}=\operatorname{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)$ for each relevant S, we obtain by indexing the cubes by their parents instead that (Note that each S, except for



FIGURE 1. A possible configuration of cubes $S_i' \in \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)$: S_2' and S_3' are uncovered, but S_1' is covered by S_2'. Dashed lines show the Carleson boxes $\widehat{S_i'}$ and the graphs of $\psi_{S_i'}$, except where overwritten by the continuous line, which shows the graph of ψ_S^1.

the maximal ones, is a child of another S, and the sum over the maximal ones is bounded by $|Q_0|$, by disjointness.)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{S\subset Q_0,\pi_{\mathcal{P}}S=P}|S| &\le |Q_0| + \sum_{S\subset Q_0,\pi_{\mathcal{P}}S=P}\sum_{S'\in\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)}|S'| \\ &\le |Q_0| + \sum_{S\subset Q_0,\pi_{\mathcal{P}}S=P}\Big(\tau|S| + C\sum_{S'\in\mathrm{ch}^*_{\mathcal{S}}(S)}|S'|\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathrm{ch}^*_{\mathcal{S}}(S) := \{S'\in\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S) : S' \text{ centred in } S \text{ and uncovered by } \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)\}.$$

The second term can then be absorbed to the left, since $\tau < 1$.

We further observe the following. If $S'\in\mathcal{P}$ for some S' appearing in the inner sum on the right, this together with $S'\in\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}S = P$ implies that $S'\in\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{P}}P$. But these cubes are pairwise disjoint. Since $S'\subset S\subset Q_0$, they are also contained in Q_0, hence their total volume adds up to at most $|Q_0|$, which may be absorbed into the first term on the right. So altogether we find that

$$\sum_{S\subset Q_0,\pi_{\mathcal{P}}S=P}|S| \lesssim |Q_0| + \sum_{S\subset Q_0,\pi_{\mathcal{P}}S=P}\sum_{S'\in\mathrm{ch}^*_{\mathcal{S}}(S)\setminus\mathcal{P}}|S'|,$$

and it remains to bound the last double sum by $|Q_0|$.

(B) We now aim to use the local $N\lesssim S$ estimate (2). We first treat one of the inner sums over $S'\in\mathrm{ch}^*_{\mathcal{S}}(S)\setminus\mathcal{P}$ for a fixed S. The significance of the restriction $S'\notin\mathcal{P}$ comes from the fact that we then know that S' was chosen as a stopping cube by the criterion (5). By Lemma 5.3, this gives

$$M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(P)^2|S'| \le M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(S')^2|S'| \lesssim M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(S')^2|\widetilde{S'}| \lesssim \int_{\widetilde{S'}}|u-u(p_S)|^2dx,$$

where we allow the dependence on ϵ in the implicit constants.

We then consider the Lipschitz function

$$\psi_S^1(x) := \sup_{S'\in\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)}\psi_{S'}(x), \qquad \psi_{S'}(x) := \max(\ell(S') - \delta^{-1}\mathrm{dist}\,(x,S'),0).$$

This is closely related to the notion of coveredness, and illustrated in Figure 1.

The function ψ_S^1 has two important features.

- $\widehat{S'}\cap\Omega_{\psi_S^1} = \emptyset$, where $\Omega_{\psi_S^1} := \{(t,x)\in\mathbf{R}^{1+n}_+ : \psi_S^1(x) < t\}$, for every $S'\in\mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)$.

- $\psi_S^1(x) = \ell(S')$ for every $x \in S'$, if $S' \in \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)$ is uncovered.

This allows us to write, using Lemma 5.3 in the first step,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(P)^2 \sum_{S' \in \mathrm{ch}^*_{\mathcal{S}}(S)\setminus\mathcal{P}} |S'| &\lesssim \sum_{S' \in \mathrm{ch}^*_{\mathcal{S}}(S)\setminus\mathcal{P}} \int_{\widetilde{S}'} |u - u(p_S)|^2 dx \\ &\le \int_{(1-\delta)S} |u(\psi_S^1(x), x) - u(p_S)|^2 dx \\ &\lesssim \iint_{\Omega_{\psi_S^1}\cap\widehat{S}} |\nabla u|^2 (t - \psi_S^1(x)) dt dx, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where in the last step we have used the local $N \lesssim S$ estimate (2).

(C) We now aim to use the local $S \lesssim N$ estimate (3). In order to sum over all relevant S, let us next denote

$$\psi_P^2(x) := \inf_{Q:\pi_{\mathcal{P}}Q=P} [\delta\ell(Q) + \mathrm{dist}\,(x, Q)].$$

Then ψ_P^2 is a Lipschitz function, and

$$\Omega_{\psi_P^2} := \{(t,x) : \psi_P^2(x) < t\} = \bigcup_{Q:\pi_{\mathcal{P}}Q=P} \Gamma_Q \supset \bigcup_{Q:\pi_{\mathcal{P}}Q=P} W_Q,$$

and hence $|u| \lesssim M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(P)$ on this set by Lemma 5.2 and the stopping condition (4).

Returning to (6), we observe that

$$\Omega_{\psi_S^1} \cap \widehat{S} \subset \widehat{S} \setminus_{S' \in \mathrm{ch}_{\mathcal{S}}(S)} \widehat{S}' = \bigcup_{Q:\pi_{\mathcal{S}}Q=S} W_Q \subset \widehat{S} \cap \Omega_{\psi_P^2},$$

for all S such that $\pi_{\mathcal{P}}S = P$, where the first inclusion shows that these sets are pairwise disjoint in S. Since both ends of the inclusion involve graph-domains, we also see that $\psi_S^1(x) \ge \psi_P^2(x)$. This allows to estimate and sum over S in (6) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{S\subset Q_0, \pi_{\mathcal{P}}S=P} \iint_{\Omega_{\psi_S^1}\cap\widehat{S}} |\nabla u|^2 (t - \psi_S^1(x)) dt dx \\ &\qquad \le \iint_{\Omega_{\psi_P^2}\cap\widehat{Q}_0} |\nabla u|^2 (t - \psi_P^2(x)) dt dx \\ &\qquad \lesssim |Q_0| \cdot \|u\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\psi_P^2})} \lesssim |Q_0| \cdot M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(P)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where the penultimate step is by the local $S \lesssim N$ estimate (3).

A combination of (6) and (7) shows that the factor $M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(P)^2$ cancels from both sides, and we are left with

$$\sum_{S\subset Q_0, \pi_{\mathcal{P}}S=P} \sum_{S' \in \mathrm{ch}^*_{\mathcal{S}}(S)\setminus\mathcal{P}} |S'| \lesssim |Q_0|,$$

which was left to prove, to complete the proof of the Lemma. □

Proof of Lemma 5.5. By arguing as in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 5.4, we find that it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{R},R\subset Q_0\\ \pi_{\mathcal{P}}R=P}} |R| \lesssim |Q_0|,$$

where $P := \pi_{\mathcal{P}} Q_0$.

By interior regularity of solutions to u to $\mathrm{div} A\nabla u = 0$, it follows that for all $z, w \in W_R$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(z)-u(w)| &\lesssim \Big(\frac{|z-w|}{\ell(R)}\Big)^{\alpha} \ell(R) \Big(\frac{1}{|W_R|}\iint_{\widetilde{W}_R} |\nabla u|^2 dtdx\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \Big(\frac{1}{|R|}\iint_{\widetilde{W}_R} |\nabla u|^2 t\, dtdx\Big)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{W}_R$ is a slight expansion of the Whitney rectangle W_R. Hence for $R \in \mathcal{R}$, we have

$$M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(R)^2|R| \lesssim \big(\operatorname*{osc}_{W_R} u\big)^2 |R| \lesssim \iint_{\widetilde{W}_R} |\nabla u|^2 t\, dtdx. \tag{8}$$

Let $W_R^* = [\delta'\ell(R), \kappa'\ell(R)) \times R^*$ be a slightly bigger expansion and R^* its projection onto $\mathbf{R}^n$, where $\delta' \in (0,\delta)$. Let

$$\psi_R^*(x) := \delta'\ell(R^*) + \mathrm{dist}\,(x, R^*), \qquad \Gamma_R^* := \{(t,x) : t > \psi_R^*(x)\}.$$

Then $\widetilde{W}_R \subset \Gamma_R^*$ and

$$t \lesssim t - \psi_R^*(x) \quad \text{for all } (t,x) \in \widetilde{W}_R. \tag{9}$$

Let further

$$\psi_P^{**}(x) := \inf_{Q:\pi_{\mathcal{P}}Q=P} \psi_R^*(x),$$

so that

$$\bigcup_{Q:\pi_{\mathcal{P}}Q=P} W_Q \subset \bigcup_{Q:\pi_{\mathcal{P}}Q=P} \Gamma_Q^* = \{(t,x) : t > \psi_P^{**}(x)\} =: \Omega_{\psi_P^{**}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(P)^2 &\sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{R},R\subset Q_0\\ \pi_{\mathcal{P}}R=P}} |R| \\ &\le \sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{R},R\subset Q_0\\ \pi_{\mathcal{P}}R=P}} M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(R)^2|R| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{R\in\mathcal{R},R\subset Q_0\\ \pi_{\mathcal{P}}R=P}} \iint_{\widetilde{W}_R} |\nabla u|^2 (t-\psi_P^{**}) dtdx \qquad \text{by (8) and (9)} \\ &\overset{(*)}{\lesssim} \iint_{\Omega_{\psi_P^{**}}\cap\widehat{Q}_0} |\nabla u|^2 (t-\psi_P^{**}) dtdx \\ &\lesssim |Q_0| \cdot \|u\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\psi_P^{**}})} \qquad \text{by the local } S<N \text{ bound (3)} \\ &\overset{(**)}{\lesssim} |Q_0| \cdot M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(P)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

In $(*)$, we used the bounded overlap of the regions $\widetilde{W}_R$, which is an easy consequence of the geometry of the Whitney regions, and their containment in $\widehat{\widetilde{Q}_0}$, a slight expansion of the Carleson box $\widehat{Q_0}$. In the last step $(**)$, we used the fact that $\Gamma_R^* \subset \Gamma_\gamma(x) := \{(t,y) : |y-x| < \gamma t\}$ for all $x \in R$, provided that γ is large enough, and therefore

$$\sup_{\Gamma_R^*} |u| \le \inf_{x\in R} Nu(x) \le M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(R) \lesssim M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(P)$$

whenever $\pi_{\mathcal{P}} R = P$, provided that the aperture defining Nu is large enough.

Observing that $M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(P)^2$ cancels from both sides of (10), we have established the required bound. □

5.2. **The ϵ-approximating functions.** As a first approximation, consider the piecewise constant function

$$\varphi_1 := u(p_S) \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S) := \bigcup_{Q:\pi_{\mathcal{S}} Q = S} W_Q.$$

Lemma 5.6. *We have the estimate*

$$\iint |\nabla(1_{\widehat{Q}_0}\varphi_1)| dt dx \lesssim \int_{Q_0} Nu\, dx$$

for all dyadic cubes Q_0.

Proof. Let us abbreviate $S_0 := \pi_{\mathcal{S}} Q_0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\widehat{Q}_0}\varphi_1 &= \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}, S\subset Q_0} u(p_S) 1_{\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S)} + u(p_{S_0}) 1_{\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S_0)\cap\widehat{Q}_0}, \\ |\nabla(1_{\widehat{Q}_0}\varphi_1)| &\le \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}, S\subset Q_0} |u(p_S)| \cdot |\nabla 1_{\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S)}| + |u(p_{S_0})| \cdot |\nabla 1_{\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S_0)\cap\widehat{Q}_0}| \\ &= \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}, S\subset Q_0} |u(p_S)| \cdot H^n \lfloor \partial\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S) + |u(p_{S_0})| \cdot H^n \lfloor \Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S_0) \cap \widehat{Q}_0, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where H^n is the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure, or more simply, the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the hyperplanes to which it is restricted.

We can then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \iint |\nabla(1_{\widehat{Q}_0}\varphi_1)| dt dx &\lesssim \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}, S\subset Q_0} |u(p_S)| \cdot |S| + |u(p_{S_0})| \cdot |Q_0| \\ &\le \sum_{S\in\mathcal{S}, S\subset Q_0} \inf_S Nu \cdot |S| + \inf_{Q_0} Nu \cdot |Q_0| \\ &\lesssim \int_{Q_0} Nu\, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the first estimate is based on simple geometric observations concerning the shape of the sets $\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S)$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{S}}(S_0)\cap\widehat{Q}_0$, and the last one on the Carleson inequality and Carleson property of the collection $\mathcal{S}$ for the first term from Lemma 5.4, and a trivial estimate for the second. □

Remark 5.7. It is perhaps interesting to remark that, in bounding the gradient as in (11), we make an apparently crude estimate of the jumps of φ_1 in the interior of $\widehat{Q}_0$, in that we dominate a jump $|u(p_{S'}) - u(p_S)|$ simply by $|u(p_{S'})| + |u(p_S)|$. However,

a comparison with the stopping criterion (5) shows that this is not so crude after all: it is easy to check that $|u(p_{S'})| + |u(p_S)| \lesssim M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(S')$ for all $S' \subset S$, and the very stopping criterion (5) says that, for consecutive stopping cubes $S' \subsetneq S$, the difference is already essentially as big as this maximal quantity. This means that, if we ignore the dependence on ϵ as we do, there is no essential loss in making this apparently crude estimate. Note, however, that we argued somewhat differently in the context of dyadic martingales, where we did trace a good dependence on ϵ.

The function φ_1 provides a good ϵ-approximation of u in all those W_Q where

$$\operatorname*{osc}_{W_Q} u < \epsilon M_{\mathcal{D}^\delta}(Nu)(Q),$$

that is, whenever $Q \notin \mathcal{R}$. Likewise, it is clear that φ_1 fails to be a good approximation in any W_R with $R \in \mathcal{R}$. Our final ϵ-approximation will be $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$, where

$$\varphi_2|_{W_Q} := \begin{cases} (u-\varphi_1)|_{W_Q} = u|_{W_Q} - u(p_{\pi_{\mathcal{S}}Q}), & \text{if } Q \in \mathcal{R} \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

It remains to show that φ_2 satisfies the needed Carleson measure estimate.

Lemma 5.8. *We have the estimate*

$$\iint |\nabla(1_{\widehat{Q}_0}\varphi_2)|dtdx \lesssim \int_{Q_0} Nu\,dx$$

for all dyadic cubes Q_0.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\widehat{Q}_0}\varphi_2 &= \sum_{R\in\mathcal{R}, R\subset Q_0} (u - u(p_{\pi_{\mathcal{S}}R}))\cdot 1_{W_R}, \\ \nabla(1_{Q_0}\varphi_2) &= \sum_{R\in\mathcal{R}, R\subset Q_0} [\nabla u \cdot 1_{W_R} + (u - u(p_{\pi_{\mathcal{S}}R}))\cdot \nabla 1_{W_R}], \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\iint |\nabla(1_{Q_0}\varphi_2)|dtdx \lesssim \sum_{R\in\mathcal{R}, R\subset Q_0} \iint_{W_R} |\nabla u|dtdx + \sum_{R\in\mathcal{R}, R\subset Q_0} \inf_R Nu\cdot|R|, \tag{12}$$

using again that

$$|\nabla 1_{W_R}| = H^n\lfloor \partial W_R, \qquad H^n(\partial W_R) \lesssim |R|.$$

By Caccioppoli's inequality, we can estimate the first term in (12) by

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{W_R} |\nabla u|dtdx &\le \Big(\iint_{W_R} |\nabla u|^2 dtdx\Big)^{1/2} |W_R|^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\ell(R)}\Big(\iint_{\widetilde{W}_R} |u|^2 dtdx\Big)^{1/2} |W_R|^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\ell(R)}\Big(\iint_{\widetilde{W}_R} \inf_R (Nu)^2 dtdx\Big)^{1/2} |W_R|^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\ell(R)} \inf_R (Nu)|W_R| = \inf_R (Nu)|R|, \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the second term in (12). So altogether

$$\iint |\nabla(1_{Q_0}\varphi_2)|dtdx \lesssim \sum_{R\in\mathcal{R},R\subset Q_0} \inf_R Nu\cdot|R| \lesssim \int_{Q_0} Nu\,dx,$$

by Carleson's inequality and the Carleson property of $\mathcal{R}$ from Lemma 5.5 in the last step. □

References

[1] Carleson, L. Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem. *Ann. of Math. (2) 76* (1962), 547–559.

[2] Coifman, R. R., Meyer, Y., and Stein, E. M. Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis. *J. Funct. Anal. 62*, 2 (1985), 304–335.

[3] Dahlberg, B.E.J. Approximation of harmonic functions. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 30*, 2 (1980), 97–107.

[4] Dahlberg, B.E.J., Jerison, D.S., and Kenig, C.E. Area integral estimates for elliptic differential operators with nonsmooth coefficients. *Ark. Mat. 22*, 1 (1984), 97–108.

[5] Duoandikoetxea, J. Fourier analysis. Translated and revised from the 1995 Spanish original by D. Cruz-Uribe. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 29. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001

[6] Fefferman, C., and Stein, E. M. H^p spaces of several variables. *Acta Math. 129*, 3–4 (1972), 137–193.

[7] Garnett, J. *Bounded analytic functions*. No. 96 in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, New York-London, 1981.

[8] Gundy, R. F., and Wheeden, R. L. Weighted integral inequalities for the nontangential maximal function, Lusin area integral, and Walsh-Paley series. *Studia Math. 49* (1973/74), 107–124.

[9] Hofmann, S., Kenig, C., Mayboroda, S., and Pipher, J. Square function/non-tangential maximal function estimates and the Dirichlet problem for non-symmetric elliptic operators. *J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28*, 2 (2015), 483-529.

[10] Hytönen, T., and Rosén, A. On the Carleson duality. *Ark. Mat. 51* (2013), 293–313.

[11] Kenig, C., Koch, H., Pipher, J., and Toro, T. A new approach to absolute continuity of elliptic measure, with applications to non-symmetric equations. *Adv. Math. 153*, 2 (2000), 231–298.

[12] Varopoulos, N. BMO functions and the $\overline{\partial}$-equation. *Pacific J. Math. 71*, 1 (1977), 221–273.

[13] Varopoulos, N. A remark on functions of bounded mean oscillation and bounded harmonic functions. *Pacific J. Math. 74*, 1 (1978), 257–259.

Tuomas Hytönen, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 68 (Gustaf Hällströmin katu 2b), FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

E-mail address: tuomas.hytonen@helsinki.fi

Andreas Rosén, Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

E-mail address: andreas.rosen@chalmers.se